

Retina Controversies

Controverses concernant la rétine

Friday, June 13 | 1030–1200 | Frontenac A/B
Vendredi 13 juin

Objectives - Objectifs

Participants can

- increase their knowledge about the relevant controversies facing practicing retina specialists
- describe the relative advantages and disadvantages of one treatment strategy over another
- become more open-minded about alternate management strategies

Les participants pourront :

- connaître davantage les controverses qu'affrontent les spécialistes de la rétine,
 - décrire les avantages et les inconvénients relatifs des stratégies de traitement les unes par rapport aux autres,
 - avoir l'esprit plus ouvert aux autres stratégies de traitement.
-

Moderator - Animateur

Peter Kertes

- | | |
|------|---|
| 1030 | Should we be doing vitrectomy as a primary treatment for diabetic macular edema or do we still need to progress through laser and intravitreal injections?
Primary vitrectomy: K. Eng
Usual progression: M.D. Greve |
| 1050 | Discussion |
| 1100 | Should our government(s) pay for anti-VEGF therapy and should that money come from the existing ophthalmology budgets?
Pro: A.F. Cruess
Con: A. Samad |
| 1120 | Discussion |
| 1130 | Should we be doing combined phaco-vitrectomies or should vitrectomy and cataract surgery be done separately?
Combined: R. Tuli
Separate: M. Kapusta |
| 1150 | Discussion |